

WI BOSCO Board  
GoTo Meeting  
January 8, 2014

Board members present: Byron Wright, Carrie Poser, Jeanne Semb, Jennifer Schmohe (elected to replace Colleen Homb), Renee Greenland, Lu Scheer, Robyn Thibado, Susan Tucker, Millie Rounsville, Joana Hemschemeyer and Chris Lashock. Excused: Debbie Bushman.

Meeting called to order by President Robyn Thibado.

We will be meeting in person on January 14<sup>th</sup> at CWCAC's office from 10 to 3.

Scoring tool – we have not had APR's from the former Starting Points projects to allow those projects to be ranked with the rest. Adam ran an APR from HMIS to see how those projects would have ranked based on the same measures we used to rank Salvation Army of St. Croix County and NEWCAP's projects. There has been some concern expressed by other agencies that two months ago the board denied Starting Points' appeal of the PEA's recommendation to reallocate all of the agency's COC funds. Robyn disclosed to the rest of the board the phone call from Mary the day after it happened. Byron expressed concern that many people saw the initial ranking putting those projects at the bottom of Tier 2; and that emails went out stating the board had decided to fund Western Dairyland and ADVOCAP when the board hadn't met. The email went out announcing the awards based on the PEA's ranking of the RFPs submitted. The two approved were the only two applications that would pass HUD's threshold listed in the NOFA. The only issues remaining with them were budget related. Maryvel (HUD) had confirmed that there was a 20% cap on supportive services which is why it was include in the RFP. However, the response from HUD in their AAQ was that there was no cap placed on any grant category for new PSH through reallocation. So the two grant applications were given an opportunity make the necessary revisions. The question is whether we let a failing grantee select who the grant subsequently goes to. This isn't just about switching lead grantees. Starting Points gave up their grants. That is between Starting Points – HUD- and West CAP. And this hasn't happened before in the Balance of State. Why should the three new grants (Western Dairyland, ADVOCAP and the planning grant) be placed near the top of the ranking when we don't know how they'll perform? Everyone needs to be prepared to have the final vote on the ranking next Tuesday, Jan. 14<sup>th</sup>.

Waukesha Shelter Plus Care was discussed last August which is when Chris said Outagamie County wasn't pursuing the grant so put it on Tier 2. There is no executed grant agreement so it's neither a new project nor a renewal project.

CouleeCAP has voluntarily reduced their Housing First grant by \$22,000.

Returning to the ranking discussion, SPI's fiscal performance was the reason for our decision to pull their grants. Our options for ranking are:

- #1. Use last years APR's and use them to rank SPI, or
- #2. Use the same criteria as used for Salvation Army and NEWCAP, or
- #3. Put the three former SPI projects at the bottom of Tier 1, because they don't fit either as new projects or as renewals.

Plan for Tuesday – bring a copy of the NOFA, the rubric for scoring and the Exhibit 1 info. Everyone will need to sign code of conduct. We will also finalize the agenda for February's meeting.

Last item to mention, Robyn and Carrie will be meeting with the three other CoC's in Madison on January 15<sup>th</sup>.