

2013-2014 Board of Director Project Scoring Tool

Each COC-funded project will be ranked using the WI BOS Project Scoring Tool. The scoring criteria is based on performance – as reported on the e-snaps Annual Performance Report (APR) and timely completion of COC goals. The maximum possible number of points a project can earn varies based on type.*

- Transitional Housing, Permanent Supportive Housing, and Shelter Plus Care can earn a maximum of 38 points.
- Safe Haven can earn a maximum of 35 points.
- Supportive Service Only can earn a maximum of 33 points.
- HMIS can earn a maximum of 12 points.

Points will be awarded as follows:

Criteria	5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	1 point
APR submitted timely to HUD	On time	Less than 1 week late	Between 1 week – 1 month late	Between 1 – 3 months late	More than 3 months late
Money Returned to HUD	0% of grant returned	0.1% - 2.9% of grant returned	3% - 5.9% of grant returned	6% - 9.9% of grant returned	More than 10% of grant returned
Unit Utilization	100%	90 - 99%	80 - 89%	70 - 79%	Less than 69%
Data Quality: Don't Know, Missing, Refused	0% - 1.0%	1.1% - 2%	2.1% - 3%	3.1% - 4%	Greater than 4.1%

Criteria	Met	Not Met
HUD Goal: Housing Stability	3 points	0 points
HUD Goal: Increase Income	3 points	0 Points
HUD Goal: Increased Earned Income	3 points	0 Points
HUD Goal: Connect to Mainstream Resources	3 points	0 Points
COC Goal: Turn in initial July PIT data timely	1 point	0 points
COC Goal: Turn in initial January PIT data timely	1 point	0 points
COC Goal: Quarter 1 APR submitted on time	1 point	0 points
COC Goal: Quarter 2 APR submitted on time	1 point	0 points
COC Goal: Quarter 3 APR submitted on time	1 point	0 points
COC Goal: Quarter 4 APR submitted on time	1 point	0 points
Turned in Exhibit 1 information on time	1 point	0 points
Turned in Exhibit 2 on time	1 point	0 points

*Exceptions:

There are a few projects that have different maximum points possible, and therefore are exceptions to this general rule.

Couleecap Housing First was not evaluated based on the amount of money returned (up to 5 points) because the project was a 3 year grant and the APR is only focusing on 1 of those years. Total maximum points for this project is 33.

NEWCAP and The Salvation Army of St. Croix County just started their projects on 4/1/13, and are not required to submit an e-snaps APR until 2014. The Board voted and determined that these two projects would submit an HMIS APR and be scored based on the data that was available through this report. Total maximum points for these projects are 22.

Western Dairyland PSH, ADVOCAP PSH, and the BOS planning grant are all three new projects created out of reallocation. The two permanent supportive housing projects will be dedicated to chronically homeless and meet a critical need in their local continua as well as the Balance of State COC. The planning grant is a necessary project in order to develop our organization and maintain our compliance with the COC Program Interim Rule. As there is no data to use to score these projects, the Board voted and determined that the three new projects will be placed at the bottom of the first grouping (100%) of Tier 1.

On January 1, 2014, West CAP assumed responsibility over three COC-funded grants previously administered by Starting Points. These three grants are: Chippewa County TH, PLUS Men PSH, and PLUS Women PSH. These three grants under the administration of Starting Points were going to be reallocated in their entirety following a monitoring completed by the Project Evaluation & Assistance committee. Following the decision of the Board of Directors, Starting Points contacted HUD and requested permission to transfer their grants to a different entity. Starting Points voluntarily selected West CAP. As a result, the main justifications for the original decision to reallocate the funds were now rendered moot. However, there were no APRs submitted to HUD by Starting Points. As a result, the Board did not have the requisite data necessary to score the projects. The Board voted and determined that the West CAP-Chippewa grants would be placed at the bottom of Tier 1.

Penalty Points will be awarded as follows:

Criteria	Subtract
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the January PIT	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for January PIT data	15 points
Non-Participation by COC Funded agency in overnight Street Count during the July PIT	10 points
Late submission of Final Deadline for July PIT data (9/30/13)	15 points

Once the total number of points are calculated, the number of points earned will be divided by the total possible points for that project type. The resulting percentage will be placed in descending order, highest at top and lowest at bottom. If there is a tie between projects, a tiebreaker score will be used.

Tiebreaker scores were developed in the following manner. Each project was divided into type: Permanent Supportive Housing and Shelter Plus Care, Transitional Housing, and SSO. Within each of those types, data was run for each project for the same date range: 6/1/11-6/1/13.

Of the total number of participants, what percentage:

- Came from Shelter?
- Came from the Street?
- Have AODA issues?
- Have Mental Health issues?
- Meet the Chronically Homeless Definition?
- Have no income?

These percentages were averaged out to give a High Barrier percentage. Then, each project was ranked (regardless of project type) by High Barrier percentage in descending order (highest at top, lowest at bottom).

As a result, if two projects are tied in the evaluation tool – the project with a higher High Barrier percentage will be ranked before the project with a lower High Barrier percentage.